

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/821,582	Applicant(s) BERKOWITZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Hugh Jones	Art Unit 2128	

All Participants:

(1) Hugh Jones.

(2) Stuart B. Shapiro.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 and whether claims were novel

Claims discussed:

claim 34

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to amendment claim set beginning with claim 34 to include the novel features, namely the particular 'engines', as defined in the specification.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)